

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,237		02/26/2002	Frederick L. Jordan	HO-P02917EPO	2035
26271	7590	09/27/2005		EXAMINER	
		WORSKI, LLP	TOOMER, CEPHIA D		
1301 MCKI SUITE 5100				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON, TX 77010-3095				1714	
				DATE MAILED: 09/27/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/084,237	JORDAN, FREDERICK	( L.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714						
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	S					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this commun. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	? July 2005.							
	his action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ters, prosecution as to the mer	rits is						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>55-63,65-80,82-85,87 and 90-96</u> is	s/are pending in the applicat	ion.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) <u>55-63,65-80,94 and 95</u> is/are allow	red.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>82,84,92 and 96</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>82,84,92 and 96</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>83,85,87,90,91 and 93</u> is/are object	Claim(s) 83,85,87,90,91 and 93 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-15	52.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ol>	ents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		· ·						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	received in this National Stag	e.					
application from the International Bure								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies no	received.						
,								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date						
<ul> <li>2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0</li> </ul>		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	<u></u> ·	,					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office action is in response to the amendment filed July 12, 2005 in which claims 63, 70 and 80 were amended and claims 94-96 were added.

The 102 rejections of the claims as anticipated by Finnan or Fujiwara are withdrawn in view of the amendment to the claims.

The 112 and 102 rejections are withdrawn in view of the amendments to the claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 82, 84, 92 and 96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirk (US 5,023,095).

Kirk teaches a color-stabilized food coloring composition comprising about 0.5 wt % to about 5.0 wt% beta-carotene, about 0.5 wt % to about 5.0 wt % of at least one edible oil and about 0.05 wt % to about 1.5 wt% of dl-alpha-tocopherol (see abstract; col. 2, lines 14-24). The edible oils include peanut, cottonseed and palm (see col. 3, lines 22-30). The thermal stabilizers include BHA and BHT (see col. 3, lines 31-37). Kirk teaches that the preferred antioxidant (stabilizers) is dl-alpha-tocopherol and that it is derived from plant sources such as whole grains by extraction (see col. 3, lines 38-

Art Unit: 1714

46). Kirk teaches that the vegetable oil also functions as a diluent (see col. 6, lines 35-37).

Kirk differs from the claims in that she does not specifically teach that the plant oil extract is derived from barley. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select barley extract as the plant oil extract because Kirk teaches that dl-alpha-tocopherol is extracted from whole grains. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, this teaching suggests barley.

- 3. Claims 83, 85, 87, 90, 91 and 93 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art fails to teach or suggest the addition of meadowfoam oil or a solvent.
- 4. Claims 55-63, 65-80 and 94-95 are allowable because the prior art fails to teach or suggest the claimed diesel fuel and meadowfoam oil as a component.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cephia D. Toomer whose telephone number is 571-272-1126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,237 Page 4

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cephia D. Toomer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

10084237\092205